UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,449	12/27/2005	Eiji Shiba	055053-0105	3547
	7590 07/27/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500		ZEMEL, IRINA SOPJIA		
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/562,449	SHIBA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Irina S. Zemel	1796		

	Irina S. Zemel	1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affida al (with appeal fee) in compliand	avit, or other evidence, we se with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ter than SIX MONTHS from the mai b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T	ling date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extractional extraction extractional extractional extractional extractional extractional extraction extraction extractional extraction	ension and the corresponding amou hortened statutory period for reply o	nt of the fee. The appropria riginally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	sideration and/or search (see N v);	OTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.12	orresponding number of finally r		ie issues ioi
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,	,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 6-13. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		wiii be entered and an e	xpianation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10.	of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application	in condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	-	
	/ Irina S. Zemel/ Primary Examiner, Art	Unit 1796	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation REQIRING the presence of component A2 clearly presents a new issue requiring additional search and consideration as no claims previously presented had such limitation positively reciting the presence of component A2..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Insofar as the applicants arguments regarding the claimed composition requireing component A2, those arguments are directed to the limitation NOT entered at this time as presenting new issue. Applicants arguments regarding Kuraray disclosing crosslinked composition are not convinsing, since the reference clearly disclosees on that composition first are obtained by melt mixing at the temperatured below (under0 the decomposition temperatures of either crosslinking agent or blowing agent. See, for illustrative example where mixing is done at about 121 C, which is significantly below the decomposition temperature of dicumylpeoxide and azodicarbonamide. Thus, the disclosed composition prior to sheeting ar un-crosslinked. Regarding the arguments that the office fails to provide motivation to substitute SIS block copolymer with SBS in the invention of Sanwa, the examiner provided express motivation for such sibstitution (which is quoted by the applicants). Simply because such substitution provides results that may be inferior for one application does not mean that one of ordinary skill in the art will not be able to recognize the obvious advantages for another applications and make such an obvious substitution.